



Including children with disability or chronic illness in child well-being research:

Methodological considerations

Australia

Dr Lise Mogensen, School of Medicine Emeritus Professor Jan Mason, School of Social Sciences and Psychology



This paper

- Overview of study progress
- Identify the importance of including children with diagnosed disabilities in comparative well-being research
- Describe the challenges we confront in attempting to be inclusive in our research
- Outline a plan for a more focussed approach to include children with disabilities and chronic illnesses.



Project progress

- 4 suburban sites 45 participants
 - Private group near the city of Newcastle
 - Med-High SES; 3 F/3 M; 8 11 years
 - 2 Govt After School Care centres South West Sydney
 - Low-Med SES; 11 + 8 participants; 12 F/7 M; 8 12 years
 - Catholic High school South West Sydney
 - Med-High SES; 19 participants; 15 F/4 M; 14-16 years
- Data collection stages 1 4
 - Maps, Profiles, Filming, photographs, letter writing, my week tool, focus groups on concepts
- Preliminary analysis of methods and data

Why are we concerned to include Children with disability or chronic illness?

- Same rights as other children to be heard
- Often excluded from total population research on children's lives and experiences
- Indicators for monitoring health and well-being in these populations lacking (Fraser et al 2010)
- Despite some progress in survey research which indicate lower that average well-being and need for more in-depth exploration (Goswami 2014)
- Few qualitative well-being studies have purposively included children with disabilities.



Inclusion in the CUWB qualitative study

- Necessary to extend comparative aspects to include children with disability/chronic illness
- Important to understand well-being in the context of living with disability/chronic illness
- More children survive severe and chronic conditions
- Some transcend challenges and achieve positive outcomes
- For many achieving quality of life can be challenging
- Research with disabled children can highlight the complexity of the way we research with children more generally (Tisdall, Davis & Gallagher 2009)
- Moral imperative exclusion = discrimination and disempowerment (Carpenter & McConkey 2012)



Challenges in Access and Recruitment

- Mainstream organisations that also provide services for children with disabilities
 - Enthusiastic agreement to be involved
 - No consents from parents parents of children with disabilities
- Specialised organisations
 - Very few responses



Access and recruitment: Ethics Approvals

- Hospital (Government Health)
 - Complicated ethics process 6-8 months. Approval required from each individual Local Health Districts HREC and site specific approval (SSA) from managers of each particular service/ hospital wards
- Public/Government schools
 - Specific ethics application process (SERAP)
 - Heavy gatekeeping only State School research priorities will be approved
 - Special schools further gatekeeping
- Independent schools
 - Easier. Permission can be gained directly from principals.
 - No additional ethics approval
 - Usually limited number of children with disabilities
- Catholic schools
 - Permission from the Catholic Education Office
 - No additional ethics approval
 - Usually limited number of children with disabilities



Study design: Theoretical Principles

- Childhood sociology
 - Children as active in construction ...of their social lives... societies in which they live (Prout and James 1990)
- Critical disability studies
 - Framing disability in terms of Social relations (Watson et al)
 - Acknowledge difference between Disabling effect of structural, social and attitudinal barriers and
 - Individual experiences of impairment (physical, cognitive, sensory) (Social Model Oliver 1990)
 - Approach has been successful in Research with teenagers with autism (Mogensen 2010; Mogensen & Mason 2015)
 - Children with disabilities (Connors and Stalker 2007)



Study design: Methods



Thorough preparation:

- Individual communication and cognitive abilities and preferences
- Pre-research consultations with children and their parents/carers useful
- Involve children in selecting their preferred methods of communicating and participating
- Meet with children several times if they want, to ensure their views are adequately understood and included
- Be reflexive throughout the implementation and allow for change and extra time

(Mogensen 2010; Mogensen & Mason 2015)



Extending CUWB Methods

- A range of flexible methods developed which children have enjoyed
- Many of these will be suitable for children with disabilities
- May still require adjustments
- May require learning some new skills or partnering with people with particular abilities (Mogensen 2010, Watson 2012)

Setting up a pilot study

- Catholic School for children with disabilities
 - Mainly mild to moderate cognitive impairment
- Networking approach: Personal contacts and direct approach
- Specific rationale of interest for the school and parents/caregivers for including children with disability – the why? questions
- Inclusion with mainstream activities is one of the key missions for this school

WESTERN SYDNEY UNIVERSITY

Next steps

- Funding
- Partners within Australia and internationally
- Other researchers in the CUWB project
- We welcome
 - Input and ideas on how to best achieve goals
 - Experiences in inclusive research methods
 - Interest in being involved
 - Interest in collaborating on implementing inclusive process into multinational child well-being project

References



- Carpenter, J. and R. McConkey (2012). "Disabled children's voices: the nature and role of future empirical enquiry." Children & Society 26(3): 251-261.
- Connors, C. and K. Stalker (2007). "Children's experiences of disability: Pointers to a social model of childhood disability." Disability & Society 22(1): 19-33.
- Gillam, L. Prenatal diagnosis and discrimination against the disabled. Journal of Medical Ethics. 25:163-171
- Goswami, H. (2014). "Children's Subjective Well-being: Sociodemographic Characteristics and Personality." Child Indicators Research. **7**(1): 119-140.
- Foley, K.-R., et al. (2012). "To feel belonged: The voices of children and youth with disabilities on the meaning of wellbeing." Child Indicators Research **5**(2): 375-391.
- Fraser, V., Madden, R., Honey, A., Llewellyn, G., & Emerson, E. (2010). Indicators of Health and Well-being for Children and Young People with Disabilities: Mapping the Terrain and Proposing a Human Rights Approach.



- Mogensen, L. (2010). 'I want to be me': learning from teenagers diagnosed with autism using collaborative, participatory research. School of Social Sciences. Sydney, University of Western Sydney. PhD: 304.
- Mogensen, L. and J. Mason (2015). "The meaning of a label for teenagers negotiating identity: experiences with autism spectrum disorder." Sociology of Health & Illness 37(2): 255-269.
- Oliver, M. (1990). The politics of disablement. Basingstoke, Macmillan.
- Prout, A. and A. James (1990). A new paradigm for the sociology of childhood? Provenance, promise and problems. Constructing and reconstructing childhood: Contemporary issues in the sociological study of childhood. A. James and A. Prout. London, Falmer Press.
- Tisdall, E., Davis, J. & Gallagher, M. (2009). Introduction. Researching with children and young people: Research design, methods and analysis. In E. Tisdall, J. Davis and M. Gallagher. London, SAGE: 1-10.
- Watson, N. (2012). "Theorising the Lives of Disabled Children: How Can Disability Theory Help?" Children & Society 26: 192-202.